

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,513	11/03/2000	John J. Gabrick	MINMAT.P03	6517	
75	90 12/05/2003		EXAM	INER	
Patrick M Dwyer			SNAPP, SANDRA S		
MindMatters Technologies Inc c/o 1818 Westlake Avenue N Suite 114 Seattle, WA 98101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624	· · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/200	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/706,513	GABRICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sandra Snapp	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No.	ovember 2000					
, <del>_</del> ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2000</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)	□ · · · · · -	(OTO 440) Described				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3624

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because they contain black surface shading. Also, Figs. 10b, 15b, 15d and 16b cover more than one page. If the drawings are too large to fit on one page, the drawings should be reduced or brackets should be used to tie the first page with the second page. Applicant is referred to 37 C.F.R. 1.84 for drawing information. The drawings do not comply with the margin requirements and should be amended. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Because the Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawings (drawing descriptions) should be before the Dislosure of the Invention in the specification. This is important for printing purposes. Also, if priority is claimed to the two provisional applications, then relevant information regarding such applications should be included in the first few lines of the specification, including a status of the related applications.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without

Art Unit: 3624

underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required. It is suggested the Applicant submit a substitute specification wherein the figure descriptions are before the detailed description.

## Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 3, the spelling of "a nd reprot" should be corrected.

In claim 4, the spelling of "dteremined" should be corrected. Also, claim 4 depends from itself, and should be amended to depend from a previously recited claim.

Art Unit: 3624

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 5 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because the phrase "the usage pattern" lacks proper antecedent basis as such limitation was not previously recited. Also, it is unclear the usage pattern of what.

Obviously it states the usage pattern for any company employee, but what are the employees using? Clarification is required as to what is being used.

In claim 5, the phrase "the employee" lacks proper antecedent basis as such limitations was not previously recited.

Claim 6 is indefinite because it is unclear what the metes and bounds of the claim are with regard to the listing "such as trade secret archives, patent filings, computed valuations, user information and a variety of detailed reports." Is the claim limited to those specific elements or are others to be considered. Also what is meant by "variety." Would a person of ordinary skill know when they were infringing one of these "variety of detailed reports?" Also, it is unclear how an employee is capable of constant supervision over the materials. Clarification is required.

Claim 7 is indefinite because it is not clear what the metes and bounds of the claim are in view of the phrase "intellectual creations (documents, ideas, schematics, etc.)" Does the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/706,513

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant want this specific list to be considered or are these elements merely indicative of the types of creations. Also, the term "etc." is vague and it is unclear what it is referring to.

In claim 8, the phrase "the employee" lacks proper antecedent basis as it was not previously identified in the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to a "system" yet no specific technology is recited. In *Ex parte Bowman*, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences has taken the position that claim language that does not recite any technology is "nothing more than [an] abstract idea which is not tied to any technological art and is not a useful art as contemplated by the Constitution." Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat, App. & Inter. 2001) (Unpublished). While the Bowman opinion is not precedential, it is cited herein for reasoning and content.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Slotznick, Carter, Schutzer et al., Hoffberg et al., Desai et al., Deerwester, Agrawal et al., and Lerner patents are directed to various electronic systems that use intelligent agents.

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

SS

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Muss Alle